	TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO):  SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE  Date 26-2-24 Surveyor C Daw 50 0		
	Onne (Chann)	Tree Group No.TI Species: OAK  H. MAIN ROAD FRATING	
	Part 1: Amenity assessment a) Condition & suitability for TPO: Refer to Guidance Note for definitions		
	5) Good Highly suitable 3) Fair Suitable 1) Poor Unlikely to be suitable 0) Unsafe Unsuitable 0) Dead Unsuitable	Score & Notes	
	b) Remaining longevity (in years) & suitability Refer to 'Species Guide' section in Guidance Not	for TPO:	
	5) 100+ Highly suitable 4) 40-100 Very suitable 2) 20-40 Suitable 1) 10-20 Just suitable 0) <10 Unsuitable	Score & Notes	
	e) Relative public visibility & suitability for TI Consider realistic potential for future visibility w	O: th changed land use; refer to Guidance Note	
	5) Very large trees, or large trees that are promine 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to 3) Medium trees, or larger trees with limited view 2) Small trees, or larger trees visible only with di 1) Young, v. small, or trees not visible to the publ d) Other factors	the public Suitable only Just suitable Ticulty Unlikely to be suitable ic, regardless of size Probably unsuitable	
	Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with n 5) Principal components of arboricultural features 4) Members of groups of trees important for their 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorativ 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if r 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeen	cohesion e or habitat importance ure or unusual	
	Part 2: Expediency assessment Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qual	fy; refer to Guidance Note \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
	5) Known threat to tree 3) Foreseeable threat to tree 2) Perceived threat to tree 1) Precautionary only 0) Tree known to be an actionable nuisance	Score & Notes	
	Part 3: Decision guide		
	Any 0         Do not apply TPO           1-6         TPO indefensible           7-10         Does not merit TPO           11-14         TPO defensible           15+         Definitely merits TPO	Add Scores for Total:  16  Decision:  No TPO	
*T	REE NOT CONTIDE F PROPOSED DEV	RED TO BE AT RISK BE ELOPMENT	ELAUSE